

It is unhelpful to characterise lockdown scepticism as a neoliberal political stance. Lockdown is demonstrably not egalitarian in either its costs or its benefits. We must assess lockdowns and other measures holistically,⁶ remembering that the costs will mostly fall, as ever, on the global poor.

We declare no competing interests.

Alexander Broadbent,
*Damian Walker, Kalipso Chalkidou,
Richard Sullivan, Amanda Glassman
damiangwalker@gmail.com

Institute for the Future of Knowledge, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa (AB); Center for Global Development, Washington, DC 20036, USA (DW, AG, KC); Imperial College London, London, UK (KC); and King's College London, London, UK (RS)

- Horton R. Offline: CoHERE—a call for a post-pandemic health strategy. *Lancet* 2020; **395**: 1242.
- Thomson A. Cancer treatment will be the next NHS crisis. April 14, 2020. <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/edition/comment/forgotten-illnesses-will-be-the-nhss-next-crisis-lxtaggt8l> (accessed April 18, 2020).
- Roberts L. Why measles deaths are surging—and coronavirus could make it worse. April 7, 2020. <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-01011-6> (accessed April 18, 2020).
- Biryabarema B. In Uganda, mothers in labour die amidst coronavirus lockdown. April 9, 2020. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-uganda/in-uganda-mothers-in-labour-die-amidst-coronavirus-lockdown-idUSKCN21R2FA> (accessed April 18, 2020).
- UNICEF. Protecting the most vulnerable children from the impact of coronavirus: an agenda for action. April 3, 2020. <https://www.unicef.org/coronavirus/agenda-for-action> (accessed April 20, 2020).
- Walker D, Chi Y-L, Glassman A, Chalkidou K. More harm than good? The net impact of COVID-19 policies is what matters for health. May 15, 2020. <https://www.cgdev.org/blog/more-harm-good-net-impact-covid-19-policies-what-matters-health> (accessed June 17, 2020).



Published Online
June 25, 2020
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31444-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31444-6)



Published Online
June 19, 2020
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31423-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31423-9)

Ethnic disparities in COVID-19 mortality: are comorbidities to blame?

On June 2, 2020, Public Health England (PHE)¹ reported on the disparities in the risk and outcomes of COVID-19. After adjusting for sex, age, deprivation, and region, people from a Black, Asian, and Minority Ethnic (BAME) background

had a higher risk of death from COVID-19 than White British people. This analysis did not adjust for comorbidities, and the PHE report highlighted this to be an important limitation as comorbidities were postulated to be “more commonly seen in some BAME groups”.¹

PHE¹ refers to a study from the COVID-19 Clinical Information Network (CO-CIN), led by Harrison and colleagues,² of the difference in survival from COVID-19 associated with membership of an ethnic group. In this study,² once comorbidities were accounted for, there was no difference in COVID-19 mortality between ethnic groups. This initially appears to support PHE's conclusion that differences in the distribution of comorbidities may account for the increased COVID-19 mortality of BAME patients.

However, in CO-CIN's analysis² of more than 14 000 patients with COVID-19 admitted to UK hospitals, BAME patients were more likely to have diabetes, but less likely to have other comorbidities such as chronic cardiac, pulmonary, kidney, and neurological disease, malignancy, and dementia. In the multivariate analysis of risk factors for COVID-19 mortality, the adjusted hazard ratio for diabetes (1.11) was less than that for chronic cardiac (1.20), pulmonary (1.24), and kidney disease (1.28), and dementia (1.40), and equal to the adjusted hazard ratio for malignancy (1.11).

Furthermore, age was by far the largest contributor to risk of death, with an adjusted hazard ratio of 9.09 for patients aged 70–79 years and 11.72 for those aged 80 years and older, compared with people younger than 50 years. 60.7% of White patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 were aged 70 years and older, compared with 30.7% of Black, 29.2% of Asian, and 35.2% of Minority Ethnic patients.

As patients from a White ethnic background were more likely to be older and have comorbidities associated with a higher risk of dying from

COVID-19, it is very concerning that the case fatality at 30 days after hospital admission for COVID-19 appears to be the same in Black and White patients.² The lack of association between ethnicity and COVID-19 mortality after adjustment for comorbidities is not reassuring. This suggests that research into ethnic disparities in COVID-19 mortality must consider social as well as biological factors.

I declare no competing interests. I thank Roba Khundkar for her support and constructive criticism when writing this Correspondence.

Krithi Ravi
krithi.ravi@uhs.nhs.uk

Department of Surgery, Southampton General Hospital, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK

- Public Health England. Disparities in the risk and outcomes of COVID-19. June 2, 2020. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/889861/disparities_review.pdf (accessed June 2, 2020).
- Harrison E, Docherty A, Semple C. Investigating associations between ethnicity and outcome from COVID-19. April 24, 2020. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/886433/s0238-co-cin-report-ethnicity-outcomes-250420-sage29.pdf (accessed June 2, 2020).

The ongoing torture and medical neglect of Julian Assange

On Feb 17, 2020, Doctors for Assange demanded an end to the torture and medical neglect of Julian Assange.¹ Yet no responsible authority has acted. Nils Melzer, the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, and two medical experts visited Mr Assange in prison in May, 2019, concluding that his treatment constituted psychological torture, a form of torture aimed at destroying the personality of an individual.² The situation has deteriorated since then, with continued abuses of Mr Assange's fundamental rights and the medical risks posed by COVID-19.

Since February, 2020, there has been a string of hearings in the context of Mr Assange's US extradition trial. A timeline is provided in the appendix. His treatment throughout has been described as "shocking and excessive" by the International Bar Association's Human Rights Institute (IBAHRI).³ He has been held in a bulletproof enclosure unable to fully hear proceedings and denied meetings with his lawyers. He was strip-searched, handcuffed 11 times, moved to five different holding cells, and had privileged client-lawyer communications seized.³

Mr Assange attended, by videolink due to ill health, only one hearing, missing the four following hearings because of COVID-19-related restrictions and medical risks.

Prison lockdowns in the UK have prevented meetings with his lawyers to prepare for future hearings. These irregularities and excesses cause helplessness, arbitrariness, threat, and isolation, all key components of psychological torture.

Mr Assange is at grave risk from contracting COVID-19. As he is non-violent, being held on remand, and arbitrarily detained according to the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention,⁴ he meets internationally recommended criteria for prisoner release during COVID-19.^{5,6} A bail application with a plan for monitored home detention was refused, however, and Mr Assange is held in solitary confinement for 23 h each day.

Isolation and under-stimulation are key psychological torture tactics, capable of inducing severe despair, disorientation, destabilisation, and disintegration of crucial mental functions. Given recent attacks against journalists, the psychological torture of a publisher and journalist sets a precedent of international concern.

Human rights organisations and others have called for Mr Assange's release and condemned the extradition proceedings. Amnesty International has advocated for Mr Assange's release on bail.⁵ The Council of Europe

considers⁷ Mr Assange's treatment to be among "the most severe threats to media freedom".⁸

We reiterate our demand to end the torture and medical neglect of Julian Assange.¹ IBAHRI states that, in view of Mr Assange being a victim of psychological torture, his extradition to the USA would be illegal under international human rights law.³ The World Psychiatric Association emphasises that withholding appropriate medical treatment can itself amount to torture,⁹ and under the Convention Against Torture, those acting in official capacities can be held complicit and accountable not only for perpetration of torture, but for their silent acquiescence and consent.

As physicians, we have a professional and ethical duty to speak out against, report, and stop torture.^{10,11} Silence on Mr Assange's torture might well facilitate his death.¹² The silence must be broken. Now. Please join us!

We are members of Doctors for Assange. This Correspondence has 216 signatories, representing 33 countries. A longer version of this Correspondence and the list of signatories is available in the appendix.

*William Hogan, Stephen Frost, Lissa Johnson, Thomas G Schulze, E Anthony S Nelson, *William Frost, on behalf of Doctors for Assange*
info@doctorsassange.org

www.doctorsassange.org

- 1 Doctors for Assange. End torture and medical neglect of Julian Assange. *Lancet* 2020; **395**: e44–45.
- 2 Melzer N. State responsibility for the torture of Julian Assange—speech by UN Special Rapporteur on Torture, at the German Bundestag in Berlin, 27 November 2019. Dec 16, 2019. <https://medium.com/@njmelzer/state-responsibility-for-the-torture-of-julian-assange-40935ea5d7c3> (accessed June 19, 2020).
- 3 International Bar Association's Human Rights Institute. IBAHRI condemns UK treatment of Julian Assange in US extradition trial. March 10, 2020. <https://www.ibanet.org/Article/NewDetail.aspx?ArticleUid=C05C57EE-1FEE-47DC-99F9-26824208A750> (accessed June 19, 2020).
- 4 United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. United Kingdom: Working Group on Arbitrary Detention expresses concern about Assange proceedings. May 3, 2019. <https://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=24552> (accessed June 17, 2020).

- 5 Amnesty International. UK: Assange bail application highlights COVID-19 risk to many vulnerable detainees and prisoners. March 25, 2020. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2020/03/uk-assange-bail-application-highlights-covid19-risk-to-many-vulnerable-detainees-and-prisoners/> (accessed June 17, 2020).
- 6 Reporters Without Borders. UK: Adjournment of Julian Assange's US extradition hearing considered amidst coronavirus concerns. April 27, 2020. <https://rsf.org/en/news/uk-adjournment-julian-assanges-us-extradition-hearing-considered-amidst-coronavirus-concerns> (accessed June 17, 2020).
- 7 Council of Europe. Continued detention of WikiLeaks founder and publisher Julian Assange. Jan 7, 2020. <https://go.coe.int/WIMX9> (accessed June 17, 2020).
- 8 Council of Europe. Hands off press freedom: attacks on media in Europe must not become a new normal. April 23, 2020. <https://rm.coe.int/annual-report-en-final-23-april-2020/16809e39dd> (accessed June 19, 2020).
- 9 Pérez-Sales P. WPA position statement on banning the participation of psychiatrists in the interrogation of detainees. *World Psychiatry* 2018; **17**: 237–38.
- 10 Keller AS. Human rights and advocacy: an integral part of medical education and practice. *Virtual Mentor* 2004; **6**: 33–36.
- 11 Rubenstein LS. The medical community's response to torture. *Lancet* 2003; **361**: 1556.
- 12 Johnson L. Psychological torture, coronavirus, and Julian Assange. April 2, 2020. <https://concurrentdisorders.ca/2020/04/03/psychological-torture-coronavirus-and-julian-assange/> (accessed June 17, 2020).

See Online for appendix

For the **Convention Against Torture** see <https://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/cat.aspx>

Time for WHO to declare climate breakdown a PHEIC?

At the opening plenary of the World Health Assembly in May, 2019, Richard Horton urged member states and the Secretariat of WHO to recognise climate change as a planetary emergency. A few days later, during a side event on air pollution, climate change, oceans, and health sponsored by the Government of Sweden, the Minister of Health for the Seychelles Jean Paul Adam argued that climate change has to be recognised as a public health emergency at the international level. Johan Giesecke¹ once stressed that as public health emergencies of international concern (PHEICs) evolve into more complex forms, it becomes necessary to identify gaps in



Bay Ismayel/Contributor/Getty Images